Finite-temperature coherence and entanglement in asymmetric bosonic Josephson junctions

Luca Salasnich*

in collaboration with M. Ferraretto and C. Vianello

*Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia "Galileo Galilei", Università di Padova, INFN, Sezione di Padova, INO-CNR, Unita di Sesto Fiorentino (Firenze)

Classical and Quantum Complexity in Statistical Mechanics, Molveno (Trento), July 21, 2025

Summary

- Two-site Bose-Hubbard model
- Semiclassical approximation
- Semiclassical approximation at finite temperature
- Exact diagonalization: Thermal equilibrium
- Coherence visibility: Exact vs semiclassical
- Population imbalance: Exact vs semiclassical
- Entanglement entropy: Exact without semiclassical

Conclusions

Two-site Bose-Hubbard model

A system of N interacting bosons confined by an asymmetric double-well potential can be described by the two-site Bose-Hubbard model

$$\hat{H}=-J(\hat{a}_L^\dagger\hat{a}_R+\hat{a}_R^\dagger\hat{a}_L)+rac{U}{2}[\hat{N}_L(\hat{N}_L-1)+\hat{N}_R(\hat{N}_R-1)]+rac{arepsilon}{2}(\hat{N}_L-\hat{N}_R) \quad (1)$$

with J > 0 the tunneling (hopping) energy, U the boson-boson interaction, and ε the on-site energy asymmetry.

The mean-field approximation is obtained by using Glauber coherent states

$$|\psi(t)\rangle = |\alpha_L(t)\rangle_L |\alpha_R(t)\rangle_R \tag{2}$$

where $|\alpha_{j}\rangle$ is the eigenstate of the annihilation operator \hat{a}_{j} , with complex eigenvalue

$$\alpha_j(t) = \sqrt{N_j(t)} e^{i\theta_j(t)}$$
(3)

where $N_j(t) = \langle \hat{N}_j \rangle$ is the average number of bosons in the site j = L, R and $\theta_j(t)$ is the corresponding phase.

Two site Bose-Hubbard model

One can also introduce¹ the relative phase

$$\theta(t) = \theta_R(t) - \theta_L(t) \tag{4}$$

and the normalized population imbalance

$$z(t) = \frac{N_L(t) - N_R(t)}{N} \qquad \in [-1, 1] .$$
 (5)

Here $N = N_L(t) + N_R(t)$ is a constant of motion.

Quite remarkably, z(t) is canonically conjugate to $\theta(t)$. In particular, defining the canonical momentum

$$p_{\theta}(t) = \frac{\hbar N}{2} z(t) \tag{6}$$

we get

$$H = \langle \hat{H} \rangle = \frac{U}{\hbar^2} p_{\theta}^2 + \frac{\varepsilon}{\hbar} p_{\theta} - JN \sqrt{1 - \frac{4}{\hbar^2 N^2} p_{\theta}^2} \cos(\theta)$$
(7)

¹A Smerzi, S Fantoni, S Giovanazzi, SR Shenoy Phys. Rev. Lett. **79**, 4950 (1997).

Semiclassical approximation

Under the assumption that the relative population imbalance is small $(|z(t)|\ll 1)$ we get the **semiclassical** Josephson Hamiltonian²

$$H_{J} = \frac{U}{\hbar^{2}} p_{\theta}^{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{\hbar} p_{\theta} - JN \cos(\theta) .$$
(8)

The semiclassical dynamics of H_J is given by the Hamilton equations

$$\dot{\theta} = \frac{\partial H_J}{\partial p_{\theta}} = \frac{2U}{\hbar^2} p_{\theta} + \frac{\varepsilon}{\hbar}$$
 (9)

$$\dot{p}_{\theta} = -\frac{\partial H_J}{\partial \theta} = -JN\sin(\theta)$$
 (10)

²K. Furutani, J. Tempere, LS, Phys. Rev. B **105**, 134510 (2022).

Semiclassical approximation

In the case of small oscillations around z = 0 and $\theta = 0$ from the corresponding linearized Hamilton equations

$$\dot{\theta} = \frac{\partial H_J}{\partial p_{\theta}} = \frac{2U}{\hbar^2} p_{\theta} + \frac{\varepsilon}{\hbar}$$
 (11)

$$\dot{p}_{\theta} = -\frac{\partial H_J}{\partial \theta} = -JN\,\theta$$
 (12)

one gets

$$\ddot{\theta}(t) + \omega_J^2 \theta(t) = 0 \tag{13}$$

with Josephson frequency

$$\omega_J = \frac{\sqrt{2UJN}}{\hbar} \tag{14}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ○○ ○

of harmonic oscillation around the balanced configuration.

Semiclassical approximation at finite temperature

At low energies the **equilibrium distribution** $f(p_{\theta}, \theta)$ of quantum-thermal states is essentially that of an harmonic oscillator with Josephson frequency ω_J , provided that U > 0. This distribution

$$f(p_{\theta},\theta) = \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}} e^{-H_J(p_{\theta},\theta)/(k_B T_{\rm eff})}$$
(15)

differs from the Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution by the fact that the temperature T of the bath is replaced by³

$$T_{\rm eff} = \frac{\hbar\omega_J}{2k_B} \coth\left(\frac{\hbar\omega_J}{2k_BT}\right) , \qquad (16)$$

where $T_{\text{eff}} \rightarrow T$ for $k_B T \gg \hbar \omega_J$ while $T_{\text{eff}} \rightarrow \hbar \omega_J/2$ for $k_B T \ll \hbar \omega_J$. This provides us with a **semiclassical approximation** for the thermal averages of observables:

$$\langle \hat{O} \rangle = \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}} \int_{-\hbar N/2}^{\hbar N/2} dp_{\theta} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\theta \, O(p_{\theta}, \theta) \, e^{-H_{J}(p_{\theta}, \theta)/(k_{B}T_{\text{eff}})} \,. \tag{17}$$

³K. Furutani and LS, AAPPS Bull. **33**, 19 (2023).

Exact diagonalization: Thermal equilibrium

At fixed *N*, the diagonalization⁴ of the $(N + 1) \times (N + 1)$ matrix associated to the **full Hamiltonian** \hat{H} gives N + 1 eigenvalues E_n and N + 1 eigenstates $|E_n\rangle$. At thermal equilibrium with a bath of temperature *T* the density matrix reads

$$\hat{\rho} = \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}} \sum_{n=0}^{N} e^{-E_n/(k_B T)} |E_n\rangle \langle E_n| = \sum_{i,j=0}^{N} \rho_{ij} |i\rangle_L |N-i\rangle_R \langle j|_L \langle N-j|_R$$
(18)

where $|E_n\rangle = \sum_{i=0}^N c_i^{(n)} |i\rangle_L |N-i\rangle_R$ and

$$\rho_{ij} = \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}} \sum_{n=0}^{N} e^{-E_n/(k_B T)} c_i^{(n)} (c_j^{(n)})^*$$
(19)

The diagonal elements $\rho_{ii} = \langle |c_i|^2 \rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{N} |c_i^{(n)}|^2 e^{-E_n/(k_BT)}/\mathcal{Z}$ represent the average weights of the Fock states $|i, N - i\rangle$ in the statistical ensemble. Thermal averages are computed as

$$\langle \hat{O} \rangle = \operatorname{Tr}[\hat{\rho} \, \hat{O}] = \sum_{i,j=0}^{N} \rho_{ij} \langle \, i, N - i \, | \, \hat{O} \, | \, j, N - j \, \rangle \tag{20}$$

⁴G. Mazzarella, LS, A. Parola, F. Toigo, Phys. Rev. A 83, 053607 (2011).

Exact diagonalization: Thermal equilibrium

The ground state of the problem

$$|E_0\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{N} c_i^{(0)} |i\rangle_L |N - i\rangle_R$$
(21)

is such that (with N even and $\varepsilon = 0$)

$$|E_0
angle o |rac{N}{2}
angle_L|rac{N}{2}
angle_R$$
 (22)

for $U/J \gg 1$. This is the so-called **twin-Fock state**. Instead

$$|E_0
angle
ightarrow rac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(|N
angle_L|0
angle_R + |0
angle_L|N
angle_R
ight)$$
 (23)

for $U/J \ll -1$. This is the so-called **NOON state** (Schrödinger cat). For U = 0 we have

$$|E_0\rangle = |ACS\rangle$$
 (24)

with $|ACS\rangle$ the **atomic coherent state**, where the coefficient $c_j^{(0)}$ are Gaussian distributed around i/N = 1/2. For $N \to N$ we has $|ACS\rangle \to |GCS\rangle$ that is the **Glauber coherent state**.

Exact diagonalization: Thermal equilibrium



Thermal average $\rho_{ii} = \langle |c_i|^2 \rangle$ **of Fock weights** as a function of i/N, plotted for N = 50 and three values of U/J: 1 (solid orange line), 0 (dashed green line), -0.2 (dashed-dotted cyan line) at different temperatures T. Left: $\varepsilon/J = 0$; right: $\varepsilon/J = 0.2$.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ○○○

Coherence visibility: Exact vs semiclassical

The coherence of our system can be characterized in terms of the quantity

$$\alpha = \frac{2\langle \hat{a}_L^{\dagger} \hat{a}_R \rangle}{N} \tag{25}$$

called **coherence visibility**.⁵ This is related to the occupation of the single-particle ground state (**condensate fraction**) by

$$\frac{\langle \hat{a}_{0}^{\dagger} \hat{a}_{0} \rangle}{N} = \frac{1+\alpha}{2}$$
(26)

where $\hat{a}_0 = (\hat{a}_L + \hat{a}_R)/\sqrt{2}$ and $\hat{a}_1 = (\hat{a}_L - \hat{a}_R)/\sqrt{2}$. Clearly, with (mean-field) Glauber coherent states one has always $\alpha = 1$.

Semiclassical method

By using the semiclassical approach, we obtain the formula

$$\alpha = \langle \cos(\theta) \rangle = \frac{I_1(JN/(k_B T_{\text{eff}}))}{I_0(JN/(k_B T_{\text{eff}}))}$$
(27)

where $I_n(x)$ is the *n*-th modified Bessel function of the first kind.

⁵L. Pitaevskii and S. Stringari, Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 180402 (2001).

Coherence visibility: Exact vs semiclassical



Coherence visibility α for $\varepsilon = 0$ as a function of $k_B T/JN$, plotted for N = 20(left panel) and N = 100 (right panel), and three values of U/JN: 0.1 (cyan circles), 0.5 (green squares), 1 (orange triangles). The continuous lines are the corresponding semiclassical result.

Coherence visibility: Exact vs semiclassical



Coherence visibility α for $\varepsilon = 0$ as a function of U/JN, plotted for N = 20 and three values of $k_B T/JN$: 0 (solid blue line), 0.2 (dashed-dotted green line), 0.5 (dashed orange line).

Introducing a small nonzero **asymmetry energy** ε , the coherence visibility α at U = 0 is significantly reduced both at zero and finite temperature T, while it remains almost unaffected for |U|/JN > 0.

In the repulsive regime the visibility α becomes a non-monotonic function of the interaction strength U at all temperatures (including T = 0), showing an initial increase before decreasing asymptotically to zero.

In the attractive regime the visibility α remains a monotonically decreasing function of the modulus of the interaction strength.

In the repulsive cases (U > 0) the **semiclassical approach** works quite well.

A D > A P > A E > A E > A D > A Q A

Population imbalance: Exact vs semiclassical

The quantum population imbalance can be measured by

$$k = \frac{1}{2} \left(\langle \hat{N}_L \rangle - \langle \hat{N}_R \rangle \right) \in \left[-\frac{N}{2}, \frac{N}{2} \right].$$
(28)

In the semiclassical approach, with $eta_{
m eff}=1/(k_B\, T_{
m eff})$, we have

$$k = -\frac{\varepsilon}{2U} + \frac{e^{\beta_{\rm eff}N\varepsilon} - 1}{\sqrt{\pi U\beta_{\rm eff}}} \frac{e^{-\beta_{\rm eff}N^2U(\varepsilon/NU+1)^2/4}}{\operatorname{erf}[\sqrt{\frac{1}{4}\beta_{\rm eff}N^2U}(\frac{\varepsilon}{NU}+1)] - \operatorname{erf}[\sqrt{\frac{1}{4}\beta_{\rm eff}N^2U}(\frac{\varepsilon}{NU}-1)]}$$



Entanglement entropy: Exact without semiclassical

The **entanglement** between the two wells can be characterized⁶ in terms of the reduced density matrices $\hat{\rho}_{L(R)} = \text{Tr}_{R(L)}[\hat{\rho}]$,

$$\hat{\rho}_L = \hat{\rho}_R = \sum_{n=0}^{N} \rho_n \, \hat{\rho}_{\text{diag}}^{(n)} \tag{29}$$

ション ふゆ アメリア メリア しょうくしゃ

where $\rho_n = e^{-E_n/(k_BT)}/\mathcal{Z}$ and

$$\hat{\rho}_{\text{diag}}^{(n)} = \sum_{i=0}^{N} |c_i^{(n)}|^2 |i, N-i\rangle \langle i, N-i|.$$
(30)

The entanglement entropy $S_E = S_{vN}[\hat{\rho}_L] = S_{vN}[\hat{\rho}_R]$ is given by

$$S_E = -\sum_{i=0}^{N} \langle |c_i|^2 \rangle \ln \left(\langle |c_i|^2 \rangle \right) \qquad \in [0, \ln(N+1)] \tag{31}$$

that is the von Neumann entropy S_{vN} of the reduced density matrix $\hat{\rho}_L$, and also of $\hat{\rho}_R$.

 $^{^{6}\}text{M}.$ Le Bellac, A Short Introduction to Quantum Information and Quantum Computation (Cambridge Univ. Press, 2006).

Entanglement entropy: Exact without semiclassical



Entanglement entropy S_E as a function of U/J, plotted for N = 20 and three values of $k_B T/J$: 0 (solid blue line), 10 (dashed-dotted green line), 20 (dashed orange line). Upper panel: $\varepsilon/J = 0$; lower panel: $\varepsilon/J = 3$.

Conclusions

- We have characterized the thermal state of a bosonic Josephson junction by means of complementary observables (coherence visibility, quantum population imbalance, entanglement entropy), analyzing their dependence on the system parameters, showing how interparticle interaction, finite temperature, and on-site energy asymmetry affect their properties.
- We have also presented a **semiclassical description**, where thermal averages may be computed analytically (for U > 0) using a modified Boltzmann weight involving an effective temperature.
- The semiclassical description may be applied
 - * to describe thermal properties of more complicated bosonic junctions (dipolar interactions, multi-component);

- * to investigate quantum dissipative systems.
- Our results are published in the paper:
 - C. Vianello, M. Ferraretto, and LS, Phys. Rev. A 111, 063310 (2025).

Thank you for your attention!

・ロト・4回ト・4回ト・4回ト・回りの(の)